UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/013,645	01/26/1998	THOMAS D. HENDERSON	PBAER36769	3599

24201 7590 12/07/2005

FULWIDER PATTON
6060 CENTER DRIVE
10TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER	
LEE, RICHARD J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2613	

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/013,645	HENDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Richard Lee	2613	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *20 September 2005*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1,3 and 9-11* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1, 3, 9-11* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al of record (5,440,337) in view of Baker et al of record (5,508,734) and Teo (6,128,108).

Henderson et al discloses a multi-camera closed circuit television system for aircraft as shown in Figures 1, 3, and 4, and substantially the same closed circuit television system for an in flight entertainment system for an aircraft having a first plurality of passenger seat positions and a second plurality of passenger seat positions (see Figure 4 and column 5, line 4 to column 6, line 25) as claimed in claims 1 and 9-11, comprising substantially the same video camera mounted to the aircraft and comprising a plurality of sensors (22, 24 of Figure 3) providing a plurality of separate video images (26, 28 of Figure 7 and see column 5, lines 7-15); in flight entertainment local area network providing video output and a video camera control module/unit connected to the video camera for receiving the plurality of separate video images, and connected to the in flight entertainment local area network for providing a forward view image and a downward view image from the plurality of separate images (see Figure 5, column 5, line 4 to column 6, line 58, and 26, 28 of Figure 7).

Henderson et al does not particularly disclose, though, the followings:

(a) a first plurality of video display modules for a corresponding first portion of a plurality of passengers, and a second plurality of video display modules for a corresponding

second portion of a plurality of passengers, a first and a second plurality of video monitors connected to the first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively; a first plurality of interactive video display units connected to the in flight entertainment local area network for receiving the forward view image and the downward view image, each of the first plurality of interactive video display units being located at the first plurality of passenger seat positions, respectively; a second plurality of interactive video display units connected to the in flight entertainment local area network for receiving the omniview frame image and video output, each of the second plurality of interactive video display units being located at the second plurality of passenger seat positions, respectively; the video camera control module/unit for combining the plurality of separate images in an omniview frame image and for providing an omniview frame image based upon the plurality of separate video images; the in flight entertainment local area network receiving the omniview frame image as claimed in claims 1 and 9;

(b) a first plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to the first portion of the first plurality of passengers, and interfacing between the plurality of passengers and the video camera control module, each of the first plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to respective ones of the first plurality of video display modules and connected to the video camera control module for receiving the forward view image and the downward view image for each of the first plurality of video display modules for the corresponding first portion of the plurality of passengers; a second plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to the second portion of the plurality of passengers, and interfacing between the plurality of passengers and the video camera control module, each of the second plurality of

interactive personal control units corresponding to respective ones of the second plurality of video display modules and connected to the video camera control module for receiving the omniview frame image to permit each of the second portion of the plurality of passengers to independently select a desired field of view for each of the second plurality of video display modules for the corresponding second portion of the plurality of passengers from the omniview frame image; a first plurality of personal control units connected to the first plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively, each of the first plurality of personal control units controlling selection between the forward view image and the downward view image for each of the first plurality of interactive video and display units independently of each of the other of the plurality of first plurality of video and display units; a second plurality of personal control units connected to the second plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively, each of the second plurality of personal control units controlling selection of a desired field of view of a corresponding one of the plurality of second video monitors to electronically pan, tilt and zoom the desired field of view from the omniview frame image for each of the second plurality of interactive video and display units independently of each of the other of the second plurality of interactive video and display units, and the second plurality of personal control units being operatively connected to the video camera to control interactive operation of the video camera as claimed in claims 1, 9, and 11; and

(c) the in flight entertainment local area network providing audio output, a first and second plurality of interactive audio display units connected to the in flight entertainment local area network for receiving the audio output, and the in flight entertainment local area network connected to the first and second plurality of display modules, a first and second plurality of

interactive personal control units, and a first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units as claimed in claims 1 and 9.

Regarding (a) and (b), Baker et al discloses a method and apparatus for hemispheric imaging which emphasizes peripheral content as shown in Figures 1, 6, and 8, and teaches the conventional use of a video camera (10 of Figure 1 and see column 6, lines 27-39, lines 52-64, column 7, lines 16-18) for capturing images for further various image transformations such as constructing abutting subimages, producing entire panoramic images, and display of enhanced hemispheric fields of view (see column 12, lines 5-52, column 13, lines 25-31). It is noted that though the term omniview frame image is silent within Baker et al, it is submitted that such abutting of subimages, production of panoramic images, and creating enhanced hemispheric fields of view as taught in Baker et al provides substantially the same if not the same omniview frame image as claimed. In any event, Teo discloses a method and system for compositing images and teaches the conventional use of a camera for providing an omniview image by combining images with an extended field of view up to a full 360 degrees (i.e., panoramic image, see column 1, lines 23-38, column 8, lines 6-13). Baker et al also teaches a first and second plurality of video display modules (receive outputs from the RAMDACs 78 of Figure 8) for a corresponding first and second portions of a plurality of passengers, a plurality of first and second video monitors (see Display of Figure 8) being connected to the first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively (see column 9, line 35 to column 10, line 29), a first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units (see Display of Figure 8) connected to the in flight entertainment local area network (i.e., as provided by Henderson et al) for receiving the forward view image, downward view image, and omniview

frame image and video output (i.e., the omniview as provided by Baker et al and Teo within the forward view and downward view imaging system of Henderson et al, see column 8, lines 9-19 of Henderson et al, column 12, lines 5-52 and column 13, lines 25-31 of Baker et al, and see column 1, lines 23-38, column 8, lines 6-13 of Teo); the video camera control module/unit for combining the plurality of separate images in an omniview frame image and for providing a forward view image, a downward view image, and an omniview frame image, based upon the plurality of separate video images (i.e., the forward view image, downward view image, and omniview frame image as provided by Henderson et al, Baker et al and Teo for the video display modules of Figure 8 of Baker et al); the in flight entertainment local area network receiving the forward view image, the downward view image, and the omniview frame image (i.e., the omniview frame image as provided by Baker et al and Teo for the in flight entertainment local area network within the forward and downward view selection of Henderson et al, see column 8, lines 9-19 of Henderson et al, column 12, lines 5-52 and column 13, lines 25-31 of Baker et al, and see column 1, lines 23-38, column 8, lines 6-13 of Teo); a first and second plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to the first and second portions of the plurality of passengers, and interfacing between the first and second portions of the plurality of passengers and the video camera control module, each of the first and second plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to respective ones of the first and second plurality of video display modules (i.e., since image transformations such as pans, up/downs, zooms, tilts, rotations, etc. are being processed/controlled by either human or computer input operations within, for example, a video camera control module 80 of Baker et al, such input operations provided via an interactive personal control unit is being attached each of the video control

modules 80, thus providing a plurality of interactive personal control units corresponding to respective ones of the plurality of video display modules, see column 12, lines 28-41 and column 13, lines 8-31 of Baker et al) and connected to the video camera control module for receiving the forward view image, the downward view image to permit each of the first portion of the plurality of passengers to independently select between the forward view image and the downward view image for each of the first plurality of video display modules for the corresponding first portion of the plurality of passengers (i.e., users are provided the interactive personal control units connected to the video camera control modules 80 having the capabilities of selecting a desired image within the image transformation system as shown in Figure 8 of Baker al (see column 12, lines 6-8, lines 28-41, column 13, lines 8-31 of Baker et al), and since Henderson et al teaches that an operator may select between the forward and downward looking cameras (see column 8, lines 9-19 of Henderson et al), such selection specifics are considered obvious in view of the combination of Baker et al and Henderson et al), and connected to the video camera control module for receiving the omniview frame image (see column 12, lines 5-52 and column 13, lines 25-31 of Baker et al and column 1, lines 23-38, column 8, lines 6-13 of Teo) to permit each of the second portion of the plurality of passengers to independently select a desired field of view for each of the second plurality of video display modules for the corresponding second portion of the plurality of passengers from the omniview frame image (i.e., users are provided the interactive personal control units connected to the video camera control modules 80 having the capabilities of selecting a desired image within the image transformation system as shown in Figure 8 of Baker et al (see column 12, lines 6-8, lines 28-41, column 13, lines 8-31 of Baker et al), and an omniview may be selected in view of Baker et al and Teo (see column 1, lines 23-38,

column 8, lines 6-13 of Teo)); a first and second plurality of personal control units connected to the first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively, each of the first plurality of personal control units controlling selection between the forward view image and the downward view image, each of the second plurality of personal control units controlling selection of a desired field of view of a corresponding one of the plurality of second video monitors to electronically pan, tilt and zoom the desired field of view from the omniview frame image for each of the plurality of interactive video and display units independently of each of the other of the second plurality of interactive video and display units, and the second plurality of personal control units being operatively connected to the video camera to control interactive operation of the video camera (i.e., since Henderson et al teaches the particular selection of forward and downward views and since Baker et al and Teo teaches image transformations such as pans, up/downs, zooms, tilts, rotations, etc. that are being processed/controlled by either human or computer input operations for the selection of omniview images within, for example, a video camera control module 80 of Baker et al, such input operations provided via a personal control unit connected to an interactive video and audio display unit is being attached to each of the video control modules 80, thus providing the selection between the forward view image and the downward view image within each of the first plurality of personal control units independently of each of the other of the first plurality of interactive video and display units and the selection of a desired field of view corresponding to the plurality of second video monitors to electronically pan, tilt, and zoom the desired field of view from the omni frame image for each of the second plurality of interactive video and display units independently of each of the other of the second plurality of interactive video and display units, and the first and second plurality of

personal control units connected to the first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units, respectively, and wherein the second plurality of personal control units being operatively connected to the video camera 10 to control interactive operation of the video camera, see column 12, lines 28-41 and column 13, lines 8-31 of Baker et al). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Henderson et al, Baker et al, and Teo references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of closed circuit television systems, would have had no difficulty in providing the features of a plurality of video display modules, a video camera control module/unit for combining the plurality of separate images in an omniview frame image and for providing an omniview frame image to the plurality of video display modules, and a plurality of interactive personal control units as taught by Baker et al and Teo for the closed circuit television system for an aircraft of Henderson et al for the same well known flight entertainment purposes of providing to passengers with the capability to interactively and individually select and/or control a desired field of view from an available multiple fields of view provided by a video camera as claimed.

Regarding (c), Baker et al teaches the conventional use of audio and video capturing functions within the imaging system (see column 9, line 35 to column 10, line 29). In addition, since Baker et al shows a plurality of video display modules, a plurality of interactive personal control units, and a plurality of interactive video and audio display units (see Figure 8), it is considered obvious that such video display modules, personal control units, and interactive video and audio display units may obviously be provided within the in flight entertainment local area network system of Henderson et al, thereby providing the first and second plurality of interactive audio display units connected to the in flight entertainment local area network for receiving the

audio output, and the in flight entertainment local area network connected to the first and second plurality of display modules, a first and second plurality of interactive personal control units, and a first and second plurality of interactive video and audio display units as claimed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the Henderson et al and Baker et al references in front of him/her and the general knowledge of audio/video connections and functions, would have had no difficulty in providing the audio/video features as well as the plurality of video display modules, the plurality of interactive personal control units, and the plurality of interactive video and audio display units of Baker et al within the aircraft entertainment system of Henderson et al thus providing the audio and video output, and connection of the plurality of video display modules, plurality of interactive personal control units, and plurality of interactive video and audio display units within the in flight entertainment local are network of Henderson et al for the same well known purposes as claimed.

In re Claim 3, it is considered obvious to provide the claimed numerical angle values for the video cameras and display since these values are merely optimum or workable ranges, and it is not invention to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to arrive at the desired numerical angle values to facilitate one's needs through routine experimentation. This opinion/view is supported by In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

3. Regarding the applicants' arguments at page 2 of the request for reconsideration filed September 20, 2005 concerning that Henderson does not disclose a first plurality of passenger seat positions and a second plurality of passenger seat positions, the Examiner respectfully

disagrees. The Examiner wants to point out that: One of ordinary skill in the art is presumed to possess a certain amount of background knowledge independent of the references. In re Sovish, 769 F.2d 738, 226 USPQ 771 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Jacoby, 309 F.2d 513, 135 USPQ 317 (C.C.P.A. 1962). The conclusion of obviousness may be made from common knowledge and common sense of a person of ordinary skill in the art without any specific hint or suggestion in a particular reference. In re Bozek, 416 F.2d 1385, 163 USPQ 545 (C.C.P.A. 1969). With the above in mind, it is considered obvious that the passengers within the aircraft entertainment system of Henderson et al (see column 5, lines 4-34) may certainly be broken down into first and second plurality of passenger seat positions, as claimed.

Regarding the applicants' arguments at page 2 of the request for reconsideration filed September 20, 2005 concerning in general that "... The Examiner indicated that Baker et al teaches a first and second plurality of video display modules, referring to Fig. 8 of Baker et al, which shows a plurality (3) of displays. Baker et al does not disclose a first and second plurality of video display modules, and does not disclose a first plurality of passenger seat positions and a second plurality of passenger seat positions.", the Examiner respectfully disagrees. It is submitted that the system as shown in Figure 8 of Baker et al is not only limited to 3 displays as indicated by the applicants. Though only three displays are shown in Figure 8 of Baker et al, the "....." as shown before the last circuit 80 of Figure 8 is the indication that more than three displays and associated hardware configurations could be provided as needed. And since any number of a plurality of displays may be provided by Baker et al, the breakdown of a first and second plurality of video display modules as claimed is rendered obvious in view of Baker et al. The Examiner wants to emphasize at this time that: One cannot show non-obviousness by

attacking references individually where, as here the rejections are based on combination of references. In re Keller, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Therefore, though Baker et al may not teach first and second plurality of passenger seat positions, it is however considered obvious that the first and second plurality of video display modules of Baker et al may be provided for the first and second plurality of passenger seat positions within Henderson et al, respectively.

Regarding the applicants' arguments at pages 2-3 of the request for reconsideration filed September 20, 2005 concerning in general that Baker et al does not disclose a first and second plurality of interactive personal control units, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. As pointed out in the above, the "....." as shown before the last circuit 80 of Figure 8 of Baker et al is the indication that the system is not limited to only three video camera control and interactive personal control units as shown. And it is considered obvious that the plurality of interactive personal control units for the video camera control modules 80 of Baker et al may be broken down to the first and second plurality of interactive personal control units, as claimed.

Regarding the applicants' arguments at pages 3-4 of the request for reconsideration filed September 20, 2005 concerning in general that "... Henderson, Baker et al and Teo do not disclose a first and second plurality of video display modules, a first plurality of passenger seat positions and a second plurality of passenger seat positions, or a first and second plurality of interactive personal control units ... The Examiner has indicated that structure disclosed in Henderson, Baker et al and Teo would permit independent selection between forward, downward and omniview images but not according to different groups of passengers or passenger seat positions as is claimed, by segregated video modules and interactive personal control units according to different groups of passengers or passenger seat positions ...", the Examiner

respectfully disagrees. The Examiner wants to point out that since Henderson et al teaches the generic selection of images from forward and downward looking cameras and since Baker et al and Teo teaches the particular selection of an omniview for display, the omniview of Baker et al and Teo may certainly be provided within the forward and downward viewing system of Henderson for selective display and so that independent selection between forward, downward and omniview images are provided according to different groups of passengers or passenger seat positions, as claimed. The applicants' attention is further directed to column 6, lines 22-25 and column 8, lines 9-19 of Henderson et al where it is taught that camera control unit CCU may be controlled by a system control unit (SCU) readily accessible to a member of the flight crew in order to control the video input to the in-flight entertainment system. Therefore, since Henderson et al teaches that the particular video may be controlled by proper personnel for selection by each of the passengers, the system of Henderson is capable of providing the downward and forward views to certain passengers for selection, while providing only omniview images as provided by Baker et al and Teo to other passengers for selection.

Regarding the applicants' arguments at pages 4-6 of the request for reconsideration filed September 20, 2005 concerning in general that Henderson et al, Baker et al, and Teo do not teach the features of claims 1 and 9, and that there is no motivation for providing a selection between a forward view image and a downward view image to one group of passengers and a different selection of images from an omniview image to another group of passengers, the Examiner wants to point out that such arguments have been addressed in the above.

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7333. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m, with alternate Fridays off.



Richard Lee/rl

12/5/05